

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/580,840 | SCHMITT ET AL. | |

| | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| YEVEGENY VALENROD | 1621 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) YEVEGENY VALENROD. (3) ____.
 (2) Jay Rowe. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2008

Time: 2pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to the proposed examiners amendment to cancel non-elected subject matter in order for the application to proceed to allowance.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Yevgeny Valenrod/
 Patent Examiner

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)